## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Barry Gordon Croft, Jr. Defendant	Case No. 1:20-cr-00183-RJJ
		form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		ndings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	volves:
		or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed wor local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendar	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe nt has not rebutted that presumption.
		re Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years	or more is prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<del></del> -
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nd the safety of the community.
,		re Findings (B)
` '	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
<b>√</b> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	•
		the Reasons for Detention
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a-appearance for the following reasons as stated in more detail on
	rd: lature of instant offense and Defendant's association v ndant has a history of prior arrests and convictions.	with violent extremist/militia groups.
	ndant has a history of prior affects and convictions. Indant poses safety concerns for the community or spe	ecific individuals.
	ndant has a history of criminal activity while under sup	
	Part III – Direction	s Regarding Detention
Т	he defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorn	nev General or a designated representative for confinement in a

Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge

corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

*Date:* January 14, 2021

Judge's Signature: \_/s/ Sally J. Berens